

CLAIMS

We claim:

- 1 1. A method for evaluating the HbA_{1c} of a patient based on BG data collected
2 over a first predetermined duration, said method comprising:
3 preparing the data for estimating HbA_{1c} using a predetermined sequence of
4 mathematical formulas defined as:
5 pre-processing of the data;
6 estimating HbA_{1c} using at least one of four predetermined formulas; and
7 validation of the estimate via sample selection criteria.
- 1 2. The method of claim 1, wherein said first predetermined duration is about
2 60 days.
- 1 3. The method of claim 1, wherein said first predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 45 days to about 75 days.
- 1 4. The method of claim 1, wherein said first predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 45 days to about 90 days.
- 1 5. The method of claim 1, wherein the preprocessing of the data for each
2 patient comprise:
3 conversion of plasma to whole blood BG mg/dl;
4 conversion of BG measured in mg/dl to units of mmol/l; and
5 computing Low Blood Glucose Index (RLO1) and High Blood Glucose Index
6 (RHI1).
- 1 6. The method of claim 1, wherein the preprocessing of the data for each
2 patient using predetermined mathematical formulas defined as:
3 conversion of plasma to whole blood BG mg/dl via $BG = PLASBG \text{ (mg/dl) } / 1.12$;

conversion of BG measured in mg/dl to units of mmol/l) via $BGMM = BG/18$; and computing Low Blood Glucose Index (RLO1) and High Blood Glucose Index (RHI1) using a predetermined mathematical formula defined as:

$Scale = [\ln(BG)]^{1.0845} - 5.381$, wherein BG is measured in units of mg/dl,

$Risk1 = 22.765(Scale)^2$, wherein

$RiskLO = Risk1$ if (BG is less than about 112.5) and therefore risk of LBGI exists, otherwise $RiskLO = 0$, and

$RiskHI = Risk1$ if (BG is greater than about 112.5) and therefore risk of HBGI exists, otherwise $RiskHI = 0$,

$BGMM1$ = average of BGMM per patient,

$RLO1$ = average of RiskLO per patient,

$RHI1$ = average of RiskHI per patient,

$L06$ = average of RiskLO computed only for readings during the night, otherwise missing if there are no readings at night,

$N06, N12, N24$ are percentage of SMBG readings in time intervals ,

$NC1$ = total number of SMBG readings in the first predetermined duration; and

$NDAYS$ = number of days with SMBG readings in the first predetermined duration.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the $N06, N12, N24$ are percentage of SMBG readings in time intervals of about 0-6:59 hour time period; about 7-12:59 hour time period, and about 18-23:59 hour time period, respectively.

8. The method of claim 6, comprising assigning a group depending on the patient's computed High BG Index using a predetermined mathematical formula defined as:

if ($RHI1$ is \leq about 5.25 or if $RHI1$ is \geq about 16) then the assigned group= 0,

if ($RHI1$ is $>$ about 5.25 and if $RHI1$ is $<$ about 7.0) then the assigned group=1,

if ($RHI1$ is \geq about 7.0 and if $RHI1$ is $<$ about 8.5) then the assign group=2, and

if ($RHI1$ is \geq about 8.5 and if $RHI1$ is $<$ about 16) then the assigned group=3.

1 9. The method of claim 8, comprising providing estimates using a
2 predetermined mathematical formula defined as:
3 $E0 = 0.55555 * BGMM1 + 2.95$,
4 $E1 = 0.50567 * BGMM1 + 0.074 * L06 + 2.69$,
5 $E2 = 0.55555 * BGMM1 - 0.074 * L06 + 2.96$,
6 $E3 = 0.44000 * BGMM1 + 0.035 * L06 + 3.65$; and
7 if (Group = 1) then $EST2 = E1$, or if (Group = 2) then $EST2 = E2$, or if (Group = 3)
8 then $EST2 = E3$, otherwise $EST2 = E0$.

1 10. The method of claim 9, comprising providing further correction of the
2 estimates using a predetermined mathematical formula defined as:
3 if (missing(L06)) $EST2 = E0$,
4 if (RLO1 is \leq about 0.5 and RHI1 is \leq about 2.0) then $EST2 = E0 - 0.25$,
5 if (RLO1 is \leq about 2.5 and RHI1 is $>$ about 26) then $EST2 = E0 - 1.5 * RLO1$, and
6 if ((RLO1/RHI1) is \leq about 0.25 and L06 is $>$ about 1.3) then $EST2 = EST2 - 0.08$.

1 11. The method of claim 10 for estimating the HbA_{1c} of a patient based on BG
2 data collected over the first predetermined duration, said method comprising:
3 estimating HbA_{1c} using at least one of four predetermined mathematical formulas
4 defined as:
5 a) $HbA_{1c} =$ the $EST2$ defined by claim 8 or as corrected by claim 10 or
6 b) $HbA_{1c} = 0.809098 * BGMM1 + 0.064540 * RLO1 - 0.151673 * RHI1 +$
7 1.873325, wherein
8 $BGMM1$ is the average BG (mmol/l) of claim 6.
9 $RLO1$ is the Low BG Index of claim 6.
10 $RHI1$ is the High BG Index of claim 6; or
11 c) $HbA_{1c} = 0.682742 * HBA0 + 0.054377 * RHI1 + 1.553277$, wherein
12 $HBA0$ is a previous reference HbA_{1c} reading taken about a second
13 predetermined period prior to the estimate, wherein

14 RHI1 = is the High BG Index of claim 6; or

15 d) $HbA1c = 0.41046 * BGMM + 4.0775$

16 wherein BGMM1 is the average BG (mmol/l) of claim 6.

1 12. The method of claim 11, wherein said second predetermined duration is
2 about three months.

1 13. The method of claim 11, wherein said second predetermined duration
2 ranges from about 2.5 months to about 3.5 months.

1 14. The method of claim 11, wherein said second predetermined duration
2 ranges from about 2.5 months to six months.

1 15. The method of claim 11, wherein the validation of the HbA1c estimate
2 using sample selection criteria of HbA1c estimate only if the first predetermined duration
3 sample meets at least one of the following four criteria:

4 a) a test frequency criterion wherein if the first predetermined duration
5 sample contains an average of at least about 1.5 to about 2.5 tests per day;

6 b) an alternative test frequency criterion only if the predetermined duration
7 sample contains at least a third predetermined sample period with readings with an
8 average frequency of about 1.8 readings/day;

9 c) a randomness of data criterion-1 wherein the HbA1c estimate is validated
10 or displayed only if the ratio $(RLO1/RHI1 \geq \text{about } 0.005)$,

11 wherein

12 RLO1 is the Low BG Index of claim 6

13 RHI1 is the High BG Index of claim 6; or

14 d) a randomness of data criterion-2 wherein HbA1c estimate is validated or
15 displayed only if the ratio $(NO6 \geq \text{about } 3\%)$.

16 wherein

17 N06 is the percentage of readings during the night of claim 6.

1 16. The method of claim 15, wherein said third predetermined duration is at
2 least 35 days.

1 17. The method of claim 15, wherein said third predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 35 days to about 40 days.

1 18. The method of claim 15, wherein said third predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 35 days to about as long as the first predetermined duration.

1 19. A system for evaluating the HbA_{1c} of a patient based on BG data collected
2 over a first predetermined duration, said system comprising:
3 a database component operative to maintain a database identifying said BG data;
4 and
5 a processor programmed to:
6 prepare the data for estimating HbA_{1c} using a predetermined sequence of
7 mathematical formulas defined as:
8 pre-process the data,
9 estimate HbA_{1c} using at least one of four predetermined formulas, and
10 validate the estimate via sample selection criteria.

1 20. The system of claim 19, wherein said first predetermined duration is about
2 60 days.

1 21. The system of claim 19, wherein said first predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 45 days to about 75 days.

1 22. The system of claim 19, wherein said first predetermined duration ranges

2 from about 45 days to about 90 days.

1 23. The system of claim 19, wherein the preprocessing of the data for each
2 patient comprise:

3 conversion of plasma to whole blood BG mg/dl;
4 conversion of BG measured in mg/dl to units of mmol/l; and
5 computing Low Blood Glucose Index (RLO1) and High Blood Glucose Index
6 (RHI1).

1 24. The system of claim 19, wherein the preprocessing of the data for each
2 patient using predetermined mathematical formulas defined as:

3 conversion of plasma to whole blood BG mg/dl via $BG = PLASBG \text{ (mg/dl)} / 1.12$;
4 conversion of BG measured in mg/dl to units of mmol/l via $BGMM = BG / 18$; and
5 computing Low Blood Glucose Index (RLO1) and High Blood Glucose Index
6 (RHI1) using a predetermined mathematical formula defined as:

7 $Scale = [\ln(BG)]^{1.0845} - 5.381$, wherein BG is measured in units of mg/dl,

8 $Risk1 = 22.765(Scale)^2$, wherein

9 $RiskLO = Risk1$ if (BG is less than about 112.5) and therefore risk of LBGI
10 exists, otherwise $RiskLO = 0$, and

11 $RiskHI = Risk1$ if (BG is greater than about 112.5) and therefore risk of
12 HBGI exists, otherwise $RiskHI = 0$,

13 $BGMM1 = \text{average of BGMM per patient}$,

14 $RLO1 = \text{average of RiskLO per patient}$,

15 $RHI1 = \text{average of RiskHI per patient}$,

16 $L06 = \text{average of RiskLO computed only for readings during the night, otherwise}$
17 $\text{missing if there are no readings at night}$,

18 $N06, N12, N24$ are percentage of SMBG readings in time intervals ,

19 $NC1 = \text{total number of SMBG readings in the first predetermined duration; and}$

20 $NDAYS = \text{number of days with SMBG readings in the first predetermined}$
21 duration .

1 25. The system of claim 24, wherein the N06, N12, N24 are percentage of
2 SMBG readings in time intervals of about 0-6:59 hour time period; about 7-12:59 hour
3 time period, and about 18-23:59 hour time period, respectively.

1 26. The system of claim 24, comprising assigning a group depending on the
2 patient's computed High BG Index using a predetermined mathematical formula defined
3 as:

4 if (RHI1 is \leq about 5.25 or if RHI1 is \geq about 16) then the assigned group= 0,
5 if (RHI1 is $>$ about 5.25 and if RHI1 is $<$ about 7.0) then the assigned group=1,
6 if (RHI1 is \geq about 7.0 and if RHI1 is $<$ about 8.5) then the assign group=2, and
7 if (RHI1 is \geq about 8.5 and if RHI1 is $<$ about 16) then the assigned group=3.

1 27. The system of claim 26, comprising providing estimates using a
2 predetermined mathematical formula defined as:

3 $E0 = 0.55555 * BGMM1 + 2.95$,
4 $E1 = 0.50567 * BGMM1 + 0.074 * L06 + 2.69$,
5 $E2 = 0.55555 * BGMM1 - 0.074 * L06 + 2.96$,
6 $E3 = 0.44000 * BGMM1 + 0.035 * L06 + 3.65$; and
7 if (Group = 1) then $EST2 = E1$, or if (Group = 2) then $EST2 = E2$, or if (Group = 3)
8 then $EST2 = E3$, otherwise $EST2 = E0$.

1 28. The system of claim 27, comprising providing further correction of the
2 estimates using a predetermined mathematical formula defined as:

3 if (missing(L06)) $EST2 = E0$,
4 if (RLO1 is \leq about 0.5 and RHI1 is \leq about 2.0) then $EST2 = E0 - 0.25$,
5 if (RLO1 is \leq about 2.5 and RHI1 is $>$ about 26) then $EST2 = E0 - 1.5 * RLO1$, and
6 if ((RLO1/RHI1) is \leq about 0.25 and L06 is $>$ about 1.3) then $EST2 = EST2 - 0.08$.

1 29. The system of claim 28 for estimating the HbA_{1c} of a patient based on BG

2 data collected over the first predetermined duration, said system comprising:
3 estimating HbA_{1c} using at least one of four predetermined mathematical formulas
4 defined as:

5 a) HbA_{1c} = the EST2 defined by claim 8 or as corrected by claim 10 or

6 b) $HbA_{1c} = 0.809098 * BGMM1 + 0.064540 * RLO1 - 0.151673 * RHI1 +$
7 1.873325 , wherein

8 BGMM1 is the average BG (mmol/l) of claim 6.

9 RLO1 is the Low BG Index of claim 6.

10 RHI1 is the High BG Index of claim 6; or

11 c) $HbA_{1c} = 0.682742 * HBA0 + 0.054377 * RHI1 + 1.553277$, wherein

12 HBA0 is a previous reference HbA_{1c} reading taken about a second
13 predetermined period prior to the estimate, wherein

14 RHI1 = is the High BG Index of claim 6; or

15 d) $HbA_{1c} = 0.41046 * BGMM + 4.0775$

16 wherein BGMM1 is the average BG (mmol/l) of claim 6.

1 30. The system of claim 29, wherein said second predetermined duration is
2 about three months.

1 31. The system of claim 29, wherein said second predetermined duration
2 ranges from about 2.5 months to about 3.5 months.

1 32. The system of claim 29, wherein said second predetermined duration
2 ranges from about 2.5 months to six months.

1 33. The system of claim 29, wherein the validation of the HbA_{1c} estimate
2 using sample selection criteria of HbA_{1c} estimate only if the first predetermined duration
3 sample meets at least one of the following four criteria:

4 a) a test frequency criterion wherein if the first predetermined duration
5 sample contains an average of at least about 1.5 to about 2.5 tests per day;

6 b) an alternative test frequency criterion only if the predetermined duration
7 sample contains at least a third predetermined sample period with readings with an
8 average frequency of about 1.8 readings/day;

9 c) a randomness of data criterion-1 wherein the HbA1c estimate is validated
10 or displayed only if the ratio $(RLO1/RHI1 \geq \text{about } 0.005)$,

11 wherein

12 RLO1 is the Low BG Index of claim 6

13 RHI1 is the High BG Index of claim 6; or

14 d) a randomness of data criterion-2 wherein HbA1c estimate is validated or
15 displayed only if the ratio $(NO6 \geq \text{about } 3\%)$.

16 wherein

17 N06 is the percentage of readings during the night of claim 6

1 34. The system of claim 33, wherein said third predetermined duration is at
2 least 35 days.

1 35. The system of claim 33, wherein said third predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 35 days to about 40 days.

1 36. The system of claim 33, wherein said third predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 35 days to about as long as the first predetermined duration.

1 37. A system for evaluating the HbA_{1c} of a patient based on BG data collected
2 over a first predetermined duration, said system comprising:

3 a BG acquisition mechanism, said acquisition mechanism configured to acquire
4 BG data from the patient;

5 a database component operative to maintain a database identifying said BG data;

6 and

7 a processor programmed to:

8 prepare the data for estimating HbA_{1c} using a predetermined sequence of
9 mathematical formulas defined as:
10 pre-process the data;
11 estimate HbA_{1c} using at least one of four predetermined formulas; and
12 validate the estimate via sample selection criteria.

1 38. A computer program product comprising a computer useable medium
2 having computer program logic for enabling at least one processor in a computer system
3 to evaluate the HbA_{1c} of a patient based on BG data collected over a first predetermined
4 duration, said computer program logic comprising:
5 preparing the data for estimating HbA_{1c} using a predetermined sequence of
6 mathematical formulas defined as:
7 pre-processing of the data,
8 estimating HbA_{1c} using at least one of four predetermined formulas, and
9 validation of the estimate via sample selection criteria.

1 39. The computer program product of claim 38, wherein said computer
2 program logic further comprises the steps of claim 11.

1 40. A method for evaluating the long term probability for severe
2 hypoglycemia (SH) and/or moderate hypoglycemia (MH) of a patient based on BG data
3 collected over a predetermined duration, said method comprising:
4 computing LBGI based on said collected BG data; and
5 estimating the number of future SH episodes using a predetermined mathematical
6 formula based on said computed LBGI.

1 41. The method of claim 40, wherein:
2 said computed LBGI is mathematically defined from a series of BG readings x_1 ,
3 $x_2 \dots x_n$ taken at time points $t_1, t_2 \dots, t_n$ as:

$$LBGI = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n lbgi(x_i; 2)$$

where:

$lbgi(BG; a) = 10 \cdot f(BG)^a$ if $f(BG) > 0$ and 0 otherwise,
 $a =$ about 2, representing a weighting parameter.

42. The method of claim 40, further comprising:
 defining predetermined risk categories(RCAT), each of said risk
 categories(RCAT) representing a range of values for LBGI; and
 assigning said LBGI to at least one of said risk categories(RCAT).

43. The method of claim 42, wherein said risk categories(RCAT) are defined
 as follows:
 category 1, wherein said LBGI is less than about 0.25;
 category 2, wherein said LBGI is between about 0.25 and about 0.50;
 category 3, wherein said LBGI is between about 0.50 and about 0.75;
 category 4, wherein said LBGI is between about 0.75 and about 1.0;
 category 5, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.0 and about 1.25;
 category 6, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.25 and about 1.50;
 category 7, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.5 and about 1.75;
 category 8, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.75 and about 2.0;
 category 9, wherein said LBGI is between about 2.0 and about 2.5;
 category 10, wherein said LBGI is between about 2.5 and about 3.0;
 category 11, wherein said LBGI is between about 3.0 and about 3.5;
 category 12, wherein said LBGI is between about 3.5 and about 4.25;
 category 13, wherein said LBGI is between about 4.25 and about 5.0;
 category 14, wherein said LBGI is between about 5.0 and about 6.5; and
 category 15, wherein said LBGI is above about 6.5.

44. The method of claim 42, further comprising:

2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of SH episodes respectively for
3 each of said assigned risk categories(RCAT).

1 45. The method of claim 42, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of SH episodes within a next
3 first predetermined duration respectively for each of said assigned risk categories(RCAT),
4 using the formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:

6 a = about -4.19

7 b = about 1.75

1 46. The method of claim 45, wherein said first predetermined duration is about
2 one month.

1 47. The method of claim 45, wherein said first predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 0.5 months to about 1.5 months.

1 48. The method of claim 45, wherein said first predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 0.5 months to about 3 months.

1 49. The method of claim 42, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of SH episodes within a next
3 second predetermined duration respectively for each of said assigned risk
4 categories(RCAT), using the formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:

6 a = about -3.28

7 b = about 1.50

1 50. The method of claim 49, wherein said second predetermined duration is
2 about three months.

1 51. The method of claim 49, wherein said second predetermined duration
2 ranges from about 2 months to about 4 months.

1 52. The method of claim 49, wherein said second predetermined duration
2 ranges from about 3 months to about 6 months.

1 53. The method of claim 42, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of SH episodes within the next
3 third predetermined duration respectively for each of said assigned risk
4 categories(RCAT), using the formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:
6 a = about -3.06
7 b = about 1.45.

1 54. The method of claim 53, wherein said third predetermined duration is
2 about 6 months.

1 55. The method of claim 53, wherein said third predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 5 months to about 7 months.

1 56. The method of claim 53, wherein said third predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 3 months to about 9 months.

1 57. The method of claim 42, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of MH episodes within the next
3 month respectively for each of said assigned risk categories(RCAT), using the formula:

4 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:
5 a = about -1.58
6 b = about 1.05.

1 58. The method of claim 42, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of MH episodes within the next
3 3 months respectively for each of said assigned risk categories(RCAT), using the
4 formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:
6 a = about -1.37
7 b = about 1.14.

1 59. The method of claim 42, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of MH episodes within the next
3 6 months respectively for each of said assigned risk categories(RCAT), using the
4 formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:
6 a = about -1.37
7 b = about 1.35.

1 60. The method of claim 40, further comprising:
2 assigning classifications of risk for future significant hypoglycemia of the patient.

1 61. The method of claim 60, wherein said classifications are defined as
2 follows:

3 minimal risk, wherein said LBGI is less than about 1.25;
4 low risk, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.25 and about 2.50;
5 moderate risk, wherein said LBGI is between about 2.5 and about 5; and
6 high risk, wherein said LBGI is above about 5.0.

1 62. A system for evaluating the long term probability for severe hypoglycemia
2 (SH) and/or moderate hypoglycemia (MH) of a patient based on BG data collected over a
3 predetermined duration, said system comprising:

4 a database component operative to maintain a database identifying said BG data;
 5 and
 6 a processor programmed to:
 7 compute LBGI based on said collected BG data, and
 8 estimate the number of future SH episodes using a predetermined
 9 mathematical formula based on said computed LBGI.

1 63. The method of claim 62, wherein:
 2 said computed LBGI is mathematically defined from a series of BG readings x_1 ,
 3 x_2 ... x_n taken at time points t_1, t_2 ..., t_n as:

$$4 \quad LBGI = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n lbgi(x_i; 2)$$

5 where:

6 $lbgi(BG; a) = 10 \cdot f(BG)^a$ if $f(BG) > 0$ and 0 otherwise,
 7 $a = \text{about } 2$, representing a weighting parameter.

1 64. The system of claim 62, further comprising:
 2 defining predetermined risk categories(RCAT), each of said risk
 3 categories(RCAT) representing a range of values for LBGI; and
 4 assigning said LBGI to at least one of said risk categories(RCAT).

1 65. The system of claim 64, wherein said risk categories (RCAT) are defined
 2 as follows:

3 category 1, wherein said LBGI is less than about 0.25;
 4 category 2, wherein said LBGI is between about 0.25 and about 0.50;
 5 category 3, wherein said LBGI is between about 0.50 and about 0.75;
 6 category 4, wherein said LBGI is between about 0.75 and about 1.0;
 7 category 5, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.0 and about 1.25;
 8 category 6, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.25 and about 1.50;
 9 category 7, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.5 and about 1.75;

10 category 8, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.75 and about 2.0;
11 category 9, wherein said LBGI is between about 2.0 and about 2.5;
12 category 10, wherein said LBGI is between about 2.5 and about 3.0
13 category 11, wherein said LBGI is between about 3.0 and about 3.5;
14 category 12, wherein said LBGI is between about 3.5 and about 4.25;
15 category 13, wherein said LBGI is between about 4.25 and about 5.0;
16 category 14, wherein said LBGI is between about 5.0 and about 6.5; and
17 category 15, wherein said LBGI is above about 6.5.

1 66. The system of claim 64, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of SH episodes respectively for
3 each of said assigned risk categories (RCAT).

1 67. The system of claim 64, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of SH episodes within a next
3 first predetermined duration respectively for each of said assigned risk categories(RCAT),
4 using the formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:

6 a = about -4.19

7 b = about 1.75.

1 68. The system of claim 67, wherein said first predetermined duration is about
2 one month.

1 69. The system of claim 67, wherein said first predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 0.5 months to about 1.5 months.

1 70. The system of claim 67, wherein said first predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 0.5 months to about 3 months.

1 71. The system of claim 64, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of SH episodes within a next
3 second predetermined duration respectively for each of said assigned risk
4 categories(RCAT), using the formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:
6 a = about -3.28
7 b = about 1.50.

1 72. The system of claim 71, wherein said second predetermined duration is
2 about three months.

1 73. The system of claim 71, wherein said second predetermined duration
2 ranges from about 2 months to about 4 months.

1 74. The system of claim 71, wherein said second predetermined duration
2 ranges from about 3 months to about 6 months.

1 75. The system of claim 64, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of SH episodes within the next
3 third predetermined duration respectively for each of said assigned risk
4 categories(RCAT), using the formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:
6 a = about -3.06
7 b = about 1.45.

1 76. The system of claim 75, wherein said third predetermined duration is about
2 6 months.

1 77. The system of claim 75, wherein said third predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 5 months to about 7 months.

1 78. The system of claim 75, wherein said third predetermined duration ranges
2 from about 3 months to about 9 months.

1 79. The system of claim 64, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of MH episodes within the next
3 month respectively for each of said assigned risk categories(RCAT), using the formula:

4 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:

5 a = about -1.58

6 b = about 1.05.

1 80. The system of claim 64, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of MH episodes within the next
3 3 months respectively for each of said assigned risk categories(RCAT), using the
4 formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:

6 a = about -1.37

7 b = about 1.14.

1 81. The system of claim 64, further comprising:
2 defining a probability of incurring a select number of MH episodes within the next
3 6 months respectively for each of said assigned risk categories(RCAT), using the
4 formula:

5 $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-a.x^b)$ for any $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise, wherein:

6 a = about -1.37

7 b = about 1.35.

1 82. The system of claim 62, further comprising:
2 assigning classifications of risk for future significant hypoglycemia of the patient.

1 83. The system of claim 82, wherein said classifications are defined as

2 follows:

- 3 minimal risk, wherein said LBGI is less than about 1.25;
- 4 low risk, wherein said LBGI is between about 1.25 and about 2.50;
- 5 moderate risk, wherein said LBGI is between about 2.5 and about 5; and
- 6 high risk, wherein said LBGI is above about 5.0.

1 84. A system for evaluating the long term probability for severe hypoglycemia
2 (SH) and/or moderate hypoglycemia (MH) of a patient based on BG data collected over a
3 predetermined duration, said system comprising:

- 4 a BG acquisition mechanism, said acquisition mechanism configured to acquire
- 5 BG data from the patient;
- 6 a database component operative to maintain a database identifying said BG data;
- 7 and
- 8 a processor programmed to:
 - 9 compute LBGI based on said collected BG data, and
 - 10 estimate the number of future SH episodes using a predetermined
 - 11 mathematical formula based on said computed LBGI.

1 85. A computer program product comprising a computer useable medium
2 having computer program logic for enabling at least one processor in a computer system
3 to evaluate the long term probability for severe hypoglycemia (SH) and/or moderate
4 hypoglycemia (MH) of a patient based on BG data collected over a predetermined
5 duration, said computer program logic comprising:

- 6 computing LBGI based on said collected BG data; and
- 7 estimating the number of future SH episodes using a predetermined mathematical
- 8 formula based on said computed LBGI.

1 86. The computer program product of claim 85, wherein said computer
2 program logic further comprises the steps of claim 42.

1 87. A method for evaluating the short term probability for severe
 2 hypoglycemia (SH) of a patient based on BG data collected over a predetermined
 3 duration, said method comprising:
 4 computing scale values based on said collected BG data; and
 5 computing the low BG risk value (RLO) for each BG data.

1 88. The method of claim 87, wherein:
 2 said computed RLO(BG) is mathematically defined as:
 3 Scale = $[\ln(\text{BG})]^{1.0845} - 5.381$, wherein BG is measured in units of mg/dl
 4 Risk = $22.765(\text{Scale})^2$
 5 if (BG is less than about 112.5) then:
 6 RLO(BG) = Risk, otherwise
 7 RLO(BG) = 0.

1 89. The method of claim 87, wherein:
 2 said computed RLO(BG) is mathematically defined as:
 3 Scale = $[\ln(\text{BG})]^{1.026} - 1.861$, wherein BG is measured in units of mmol/l
 4 Risk = $32.184(\text{Scale})^2$
 5 if (BG is \leq about 112.5) then:
 6 RLO(BG) = Risk, otherwise
 7 RLO(BG) = 0.

1 90. The method of claim 87, wherein:
 2 computing LBGI based on said collected BG data, said computed LBGI is
 3 mathematically defined from a series of BG readings x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n taken at time points $t_1, t_2,$
 4 \dots, t_n as:

$$5 \quad LBGI = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n lbgi(x_i; 2)$$

6 where:

$$7 \quad lbgi(BG; a) = \text{RLO}(BG).$$

1 91. The method of claim 87, wherein:
 2 computing provisional LBGI based on said collected BG data, said computed provisional
 3 LBGI is mathematically defined from mathematically defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \quad & \text{LBGI}(1) = \text{RLO}(x_1) \\ 5 \quad & \text{RLO2}(1) = 0 \\ 6 \quad & \text{LBGI}(j) = ((j-1)/j) * \text{LBGI}(j-1) + (1/j) * \text{RLO}(x_j) \\ 7 \quad & \text{RLO2}(j) = ((j-1)/j) * \text{RLO2}(j-1) + (1/j) * (\text{RLO}(x_j) - \text{LBGI}(j))^2. \end{aligned}$$

1 92. The method of claim 91, wherein:
 2 computing SBGI, said computed SBGI is mathematically defined as:
 3 $\text{SBGI}(n) = \sqrt{\text{RLO2}(n)}$.

1 93. The method of claim 92, comprising qualifying or providing a warning of
 2 upcoming short term SH wherein if:

3 $(\text{LBGI}(150) \geq 2.5 \text{ and } \text{LBGI}(50) \geq (1.5 * \text{LBGI}(150) \text{ and } \text{SBGI}(50) \geq$
 4 $\text{SBGI}(150))$ then said issue of warning is qualified or provided, or
 5 $\text{RLO} \geq (\text{LBGI}(150) + 1.5 * \text{SBGI}(150))$ then said issue of warning is
 6 qualified or provided;
 7 otherwise:
 8 a warning is not necessarily qualified or provided.

1 94. The method of claim 92, comprising qualifying or providing a warning of
 2 upcoming short term SH wherein if:

3 $(\text{LBGI}(n) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \text{SBGI}(n) \geq \beta)$ then said issue of warning is qualified
 4 or provided, and/or
 5 $(\text{RLO}(n) \geq (\text{LBGI}(n) + \gamma * \text{SBGI}(n)))$ then said issue of warning is qualified
 6 or provided;
 7 otherwise:
 8 a warning is not necessarily qualified or provided, wherein α , β , and γ are

9 threshold parameters.

1 95. The method of claim 94, wherein said threshold parameters α , β , and γ are
2 defined as α = about 5, β = about 7.5, γ = about 1.5.

1 96. The method of claim 94, wherein said threshold parameters α , β , and γ are
2 defined as any combination in a, b, and/or c, or as any intermediate combination of values
3 of said parameters between the values of said parameters in a, b, and/or c below:

4 a) α = 6.4, β = 8.2, γ = 1.5, α = 5.0, β = 7.5, γ = 1.3;

5 b) α = 6.0, β = 7.5, γ = 1.5, α = 4.9, β = 7.0, γ = 1.2; and/or

6 c) α = 5.5, β = 7.5, γ = 1.5, α = 4.8, β = 7.0, γ = 1.2.

1 97. The method of claim 94, wherein said threshold parameters α , β , and γ are
2 defined as any combination in a, b, and/or c, or as any intermediate combination of values
3 of said parameters between the values of said parameters in a, b, and/or c below:

4 a). α about 6.4, β about 8.2, γ about 1.5, α about 5.0, β about 7.5, γ about 1.3;

5 b). α about 6.0, β about 7.5, γ about 1.5, α about 4.9, β about 7.0, γ about 1.2;

6 and/or

7 c). α about 5.5, β about 7.5, γ about 1.5, α about 4.8, β about 7.0, γ about 1.2.

1 98. A system for evaluating the short term probability for severe
2 hypoglycemia (SH) of a patient based on BG data collected over a predetermined
3 duration, said system comprising:

4 a database component operative to maintain a database identifying said BG data;

5 and

6 a processor programmed to:

7 compute scale values based on said collected BG data; and

8 compute the low BG risk value (RLO) for each BG data.

1 99. The system of claim 98, wherein:

2 said computed RLO(BG) is mathematically defined as:

3 $\text{Scale} = [\ln(\text{BG})]^{1.0845} - 5.381$, wherein BG is measured in units of mg/dl

4 $\text{Risk} = 22.765(\text{Scale})^2$

5 if (BG is less than about 112.5) then:

6 $\text{RLO}(\text{BG}) = \text{Risk}$, otherwise

7 $\text{RLO}(\text{BG}) = 0$.

1 100. The system of claim 98, wherein:

2 said computed RLO(BG) is mathematically defined as:

3 $\text{Scale} = [\ln(\text{BG})]^{1.026} - 1.861$, wherein BG is measured in units of mmol/l

4 $\text{Risk} = 32.184(\text{Scale})^2$

5 if (BG is \leq about 112.5) then:

6 $\text{RLO}(\text{BG}) = \text{Risk}$, otherwise

7 $\text{RLO}(\text{BG}) = 0$.

1 101. The system of claim 98, wherein:

2 computing LBGI based on said collected BG data, said computed LBGI is

3 mathematically defined from a series of BG readings x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n taken at time points $t_1, t_2,$
4 \dots, t_n as:

$$5 \quad LBGI = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n lbgi(x_i; 2)$$

6 where:

$$7 \quad lbgi(BG; a) = \text{RLO}(BG).$$

1 102. The system of claim 98, wherein:

2 computing provisional LBGI based on said collected BG data, said computed provisional
3 LBGI is mathematically defined from mathematically defined as:

$$4 \quad \text{LBGI}(1) = \text{RLO}(x_1)$$

$$5 \quad \text{RLO2}(1) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LBGI}(j) &= ((j-1)/j) * \text{LBGI}(j-1) + (1/j) * \text{RLO}(x_j) \\ \text{RLO2}(j) &= ((j-1)/j) * \text{RLO2}(j-1) + (1/j) * (\text{RLO}(x_j) - \text{LBGI}(j))^2. \end{aligned}$$

103. The system of claim 102, wherein:
 computing SBGI, said computed SBGI is mathematically defined as:

$$\text{SBGI}(n) = \sqrt{\text{RLO2}(n)}.$$

104. The system of claim 103, comprising qualifying or providing a warning of
 upcoming short term SH wherein if:

$$(\text{LBGI}(150) \geq 2.5 \text{ and } \text{LBGI}(50) \geq (1.5 * \text{LBGI}(150) \text{ and } \text{SBGI}(50) \geq$$

$$\text{SBGI}(150)) \text{ then said issue of warning is qualified or provided, or}$$

$$\text{RLO} \geq (\text{LBGI}(150) + 1.5 * \text{SBGI}(150)) \text{ then said issue of warning is}$$

 qualified or provided;
 otherwise:
 a warning is not necessarily qualified or provided.

105. The system of claim 103, comprising qualifying or providing a warning of
 upcoming short term SH wherein if:

$$(\text{LBGI}(n) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \text{SBGI}(n) \geq \beta) \text{ then said issue of warning is qualified}$$

 or provided, and/or

$$(\text{RLO}(n) \geq (\text{LBGI}(n) + \gamma * \text{SBGI}(n))) \text{ then said issue of warning is qualified}$$

 or provided;
 otherwise:
 a warning is not necessarily qualified or provided, wherein α , β , and γ are
 threshold parameters.

106. The system of claim 105, wherein said threshold parameters α , β , and γ are
 defined as $\alpha = \text{about } 5$, $\beta = \text{about } 7.5$, $\gamma = \text{about } 1.5$.

1 107. The system of claim 105, wherein said threshold parameters α , β , and γ are
2 defined as any combination in a, b, and/or c, or as any intermediate combination of values
3 of said parameters between the values of said parameters in a, b, and/or c below:

4 a) $\alpha = 6.4$, $\beta = 8.2$, $\gamma = 1.5$, $\alpha = 5.0$, $\beta = 7.5$, $\gamma = 1.3$;

5 b) $\alpha = 6.0$, $\beta = 7.5$, $\gamma = 1.5$, $\alpha = 4.9$, $\beta = 7.0$, $\gamma = 1.2$; and/or

6 c) $\alpha = 5.5$, $\beta = 7.5$, $\gamma = 1.5$, $\alpha = 4.8$, $\beta = 7.0$, $\gamma = 1.2$.

1 108. The system of claim 105, wherein said threshold parameters α , β , and γ are
2 defined as any combination in a, b, and/or c, or as any intermediate combination of values
3 of said parameters between the values of said parameters in a, b, and/or c below:

4 a). α about 6.4, β about 8.2, γ about 1.5, α about 5.0, β about 7.5, γ about 1.3;

5 b). α about 6.0, β about 7.5, γ about 1.5, α about 4.9, β about 7.0, γ about 1.2;

6 and/or

7 c). α about 5.5, β about 7.5, γ about 1.5, α about 4.8, β about 7.0, γ about 1.2.

1 109. A system for evaluating the short term probability for severe
2 hypoglycemia (SH) of a patient based on BG data collected over a predetermined
3 duration, said system comprising:

4 a BG acquisition mechanism, said acquisition mechanism configured to acquire
5 BG data from the patient;

6 a database component operative to maintain a database identifying said BG data;

7 and

8 a processor programmed to:

9 compute scale values based on said collected BG data; and

10 compute the low BG risk value (RLO) for each BG data.

1 110. A computer program product comprising a computer useable medium
2 having computer program logic for enabling at least one processor in a computer system
3 to evaluating the short term probability for severe hypoglycemia (SH) of a patient based
4 on BG data collected over a predetermined duration, said computer program logic

5 comprising:

6 computing scale values based on said collected BG data; and

7 computing the low BG risk value (RLO) for each BG data.

1 111. The computer program product of claim 110, wherein said computer
2 program logic further comprises the steps of claim 92.